



92

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

W.P. (S) No. 5597 of 2007

PETITIONER

1. Prahlad Singh Gudwan, s/o Late Shri Omkar Singh Gudwan, aged about 46 years, Assistant Grade-II in the office of Chief Election Officer, Indrawati Division, Mantralaya, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.).
2. Ram Lakhan Patel, s/o Sudarshan Patel, aged about 47 years, Assistant Grade-II in the office of Chief Election Officer, Indrawati Division, Mantralaya, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.).
3. Vinod Kumar Sonkeshariya, s/o L.C. Sonkeshariya, aged about 33 years, Assistant Grade-III in the office of Chief Election Officer, Indrawati Division, Mantralaya, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.).
4. Prakash Tripathi, s/o Bala Prasad Tripathi, aged about 42 years, Assistant Grade-II in the Office of Chief Election Officer, Indrawati Division, Mantralaya, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.).
5. Amarnath Katiyar, s/o Ujagar Lal Katiyar, aged about 33 years, Assistant Grade-III, in the office of Chief Election Officer, Indrawati Division, Mantralaya, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.).

Versus

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh, through Secretary, General Administration Department, Mantralaya, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.).
2. Chief Election Officer, Chief Election Office, Indrawati Block, Mantralaya, Raipur (C.G.).
3. Deputy Chief Election officer, Chief Election Office, Indrawati Block, Mantralaya, Raipur (C.G.).

(93)

**WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION
OF INDIA**

Appearance:

Shri Ambuj Agrawal, counsel for the petitioners.

Shri Ajay Dwivedi, Panel Lawyer, appears for the
State/respondents on advance copy.

ORAL ORDER
(25.09.2007)

SUNIL KUMAR SINHA, J.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that presently the grievances of the petitioners have been redressed by the respondents and the cause of action does not survive, in the present facts and circumstances, therefore, he prays for withdrawal of this petition. However, he prays that if any exigency arises in future, the petitioners may be granted liberty to agitate the same in accordance with law.

Prayer is not opposed by learned counsel for the State.

The petition is permitted to be withdrawn. It is dismissed as withdrawn.

The petitioners shall be at liberty to approach this court once again if, in fact, any such exigency arises in future.

Sd/-
Sunil Kumar Sinha
Judge

/Rao/